ETIOLOGY OF RADIAL PALSY

The radial nerve is the most frequently injured of the three major peripheral nerves in the upper extremity. It is most vulnerable to injury at the mid-humeral level, since it lies superficially and wraps around the spiral groove of the humerus. The most common causes of radial palsy are fractures of the humerus, elbow dislocations, and Monteggia fracture-dislocations. Barton states that one in every ten humeral shaft fractures is complicated by radial nerve palsy. Neuropathy of the radial nerve is also frequently seen as a result of direct pressure from an external source, such as pressure in the axilla from a crutch or pressure over the nerve at the mid-humeral level (often referred to as Saturday night or drunk-and-paly). Although direct trauma is the most common cause of radial palsy, other etiological factors may cause the loss of radial nerve function, e.g., systemic disease such as diabetes mellitus, polyarteritis nodosa, alcoholism, and serum sickness; or the loss of function may result from a general neurological disorder. Dysfunction may also result from internal anatomical compression associated with spontaneous compression syndromes or the presence of a tumor.

ANATOMY

Injury commonly occurs as the radial nerve courses around the spiral groove of the humerus (Fig. 1). Injury at this level is described as high radial palsy. Interruption of the triceps muscle is spared, leaving the elbow function intact. Loss of innervation of the brachioradialis and supinator muscles (which results in little functional loss since both provide accessory motions), all wrist extensors, all extrinsic finger extensors and all extrinsic thumb abductors characterize this lesion. Before the nerve plunges below the supinator muscle, it bifurcates, dividing into the superficial sensory branch, which innervates the dorso-radial aspect of the hand, and a motor branch, the posterior interosseous nerve. In posterior interosseous palsy, radial wrist extension is spared, since the extensor carpi radialis longus and brevis muscles are innervated more proximally and the brachioradialis function will always be present. The clinical picture is one of radially directed wrist extension, but absent finger and thumb extension.

DEFORMITY/LOSS OF FUNCTION

The deformity in radial palsy is classic: inability to extend the wrist, loss of finger extension at the metacarpophalangeal joints, and inability to extend and abduct the thumb (Fig. 2). This is commonly referred to as the wrist-drop deformity.

With radial palsy functional impairment to the hand is significant (Fig. 3). The inability to extend and stabilize the wrist causes the patient to be unable to use his long fingers adequately in making a fist. Since palmular extrinsic muscles and all intrinsic muscles are intact in isolated radial palsy, there is the potential for the extensor mechanism to develop being unposition to normal the palm muscles so they can function. The sensibility of the palmar surface of the hand is uninvolved; therefore, the loss of active extension robs the otherwise normal palmar surface of its usefulness. For this reason appropriate splinting during the period of recovery has the potential of establishing almost normal functional use of the hand. This is in sharp contrast to median or ulnar palsy, both of which rob the hand simultaneously of portions of palm sensibility and intrinsic function.

Splinting to preserve movement and prevent overstretching of the denervated muscles is particularly important when the recovery is prolonged. With complete lesions recovery of radial nerve function, either spontaneously or following neurorrhaphy, may be quite lengthy. Barton states that onset of recovery occurs at an average of five weeks, but it may be seen as late as the eighth month. Green reports that one can expect to wait five or six months following neurorrhaphy before one begins to see return in proximal musculature. Betn's series showed an average of 7.5 months until full functional recovery.

Packer, et al. observed that complete recovery may take from 1 to 24 months.

The loss of power in the wrist and finger extensors reduces the reciprocal tenodesis action that is
essential to the grasp-release pattern of normal hand function. The ideal splint would recreate this harmony of tenodesis action: finger extension with wrist flexion and wrist extension with finger flexion.

Although tendon transfers for radial nerve palsy are predictable and dependable, and some authors advocate early tendon transfer to eliminate the need for external splinting, most surgeons attempt a period of conservative treatment or nerve surrure prior to tendon transfers.7 Barton states that radial nerve palsy usually recovers spontaneously, and therefore the therapist may need to provide effective splinting for months in anticipation of such an outcome.1

SPLITTING SOLUTIONS

Although many authors have clarified the importance of wrist stability in a position of extension to facilitate the power of finger flexion, there still exists a wide variety of splinting solutions for the problem of radial nerve palsy.8-10 There is little controversy over the need to prevent overstretching of the denervated muscles. Most authors advocate some type of splinting. With the potential for normal use of the flexor surface, there is a specific challenge to provide a splint that will effectively substitute for the absent extensor power.

Many authors suggest that the priority is stabilization of the wrist with a static splint.11,12,13,14 Although stabilization of the wrist does allow transmission of force to the flexors for power grip, immobilization of the wrist only accentuates the inability of the fingers and thumb to open out of the palm. With the metacarpophalangeal joints resting in flexion, the use of intrinsic control is limited by the tension on the collateral ligaments of the metacarpophalangeal joints; in order to spread the fingers the metacarpophalangeal joints must first be lifted manually into extension. Static wrist switching does not replace the fine manipulative ability of the hand, a function needed more frequently in our daily tasks than that of the power grip. The «augmented tenodesis seen in radial nerve palsy—of wrist flexion to obtain metacarpophalangeal extension—is lost when
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FIGURE 1. Anatomy of the radial nerve showing the levels of muscle innervation.

FIGURE 2. Wrist drop deformity showing stretched extensor muscles.
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In an effort to allow motion while still providing support, various splinting combinations have been proposed. Pearson and Wynn Parry recommend dynamic splinting of the wrist.14,15 Peacock and Thompson and Littler have demonstrated splints that add outriggers for concurrent dynamic finger and thumb extension. Although these splints are very effective in assisting extension when the hand is relaxed, they are ineffective in maintaining wrist extension during finger flexion, since the wrist and finger flexors pull against the outriggers, overpowering the dynamic portion of the splint. The presence of a dynamic force substituting for absent muscle action provides a stimulus against which to pull, strengthening even more the intact musculature. Although this assists in maintaining excellent joint motion, it does not effectively re-establish the pattern of grasp/release that is missing with radial nerve palsy.

Some authors, including Green, Wynn Parry, and Hight, have illustrated splints that provide wrist extension, block metacarpophalangeal extension, and dynamically extend the interphalangeal joints, but then pessimistically state that these splints are too bulky to be of use to the patient.15,16 These outriggers for interphalangeal extension undoubtedly restrict the normal range of finger flexion, which otherwise is easily maintained in the hand with radial palsy by supporting the wrist.

The most popular of the recommended splint designs is the Thomas suspension splint, designed by F. B. Thomas in 1944 and modified by him in 1951 (Fig. 4).17,18 tunnel and others believed this to be the splint of choice, undoubtedly because it concurrently extends the wrist, finger and thumb.19-21 With the thumb outrigger present, this splint impairs fine manipulative tasks and still allows wrist flexion to overpower the force of the dynamic splint during attempted finger flexion. Similar to the Thomas splint, the Oppenheimer splint has also been a popular choice.22 These two splints are still commercially produced and widely recognized in the United States as useful for splinting radial nerve palsy.

**A RECOMMENDED SPLINT**

Holila reported on a splint designed at the Hand Rehabilitation Center in Chapel Hill, North Carolina in 1973. It was similar to the Thomas and Oppenheimer splints, but a static line rather than rubber bands or spring coils was used to suspend the proximal phalanges.23 Years earlier, Crochetter, et al described the "Granger" splint. It is biomechanically identical to the aforementioned, but the string acting as "external tendons" is eliminated and the finger loops are attached directly to the outrigger.24 Although this allows a closer profile and an aesthetically pleasing design, there is increased difficulty in precise fitting and replacement of finger loops is more cumbersome.

The preferred design of the static line allows full flexing (Fig. 5). Since the static line does not allow the wrist to drop below neutral, the powerful flexors have the ability, as they tighten, to bring the wrist into a position of extension. During relaxation, as gravity drops the wrist, extension of the metacarpophalangeal joints occurs as force is transmitted to the loops around the proximal phalanges. Full finger extension is then accomplished by the intrinsic actions in concert with the blocking action of the splint. Since with this splint the patient regains a facsimile of the
previously normal tenodesis effect, training is rarely required to adapt to this splint. The thumb need not always be included with an outrigger. Since the wrist has been "harnessed" by the splint, and the thumb extensors and abductors lie on the dorsoulnar surface, these muscles have been taken off maximum stress. The cumbersome nature of an outrigger, which must project radially to hold the thumb, and the limitations it imposes on the intrinsic action of the thumb do not often enhance the function of the thumb. The intrinsic muscles can often completely extend the interphalangeal joint of the thumb, and the limited wrist motion allowed by the splint often effectively brings the thumb out of the palm.

The advantages of this splint design are numerous. The suspension design facilitates maintenance of the normal hand arches, since the carpometacarpal joints of the ring and little fingers are unimpeded, allowing flattening of the transverse metacarpal arch during extension and descent of the ulnar metacarpals with full finger flexion (Fig. 6). When the flexors are relaxed, the metacarpophalangeal joints are held in full extension, but the suspension allows freedom for powerful full flexion, allowing all the finger joints their maximum range of motion. Although the motion of the wrist is "harnessed" in the splint and thus allowed only part of its normal range of motion, it is moving in response to the normal tenodesis effect, preventing establishment of a pattern of strong wrist flexion in order to obtain finger extension, a pattern often seen and sometimes difficult to change following tendon transfer. Most importantly, as wrist extension begins to return, the splint allows those muscles to act during normal use of the hand. Other splint designs substitute for the wrist extensor muscles, making the process of "weaning off" the splint difficult, since exercise to strengthen the wrist extensors can be done only when the splint is removed. This free motion is impossible to achieve in static wrist splints or when rigid bars support either the metacarpal or proximal phalangeal area. Most strikingly, this splint has an absence of splinting material on the palmar surface, thereby allowing full sensory input and use of the palm for comfortable grasping. The comparatively low profile of this splint allows it to

FIGURE 5. The recommended splinting for radial nerve palsy "harnesses" the tenodesis pattern by use of a static line.

FIGURE 6. The suspension design of the splint allows normal ascent and descent of the ulnar metacarpals during extension and flexion.

FIGURE 7. The splint covers minimal surface of the palm.
be used effectively by the patient in his daily routine, since the bulk lies on the dorsum of the hand and the palmar surface is unencumbered (Fig. 7).

**Splint Construction.** A dorsal piece of ther- moplastic material is molded over the distal half of the forearm, with particular attention to preventing pressure over the ulnar styloid. Careful molding beyond the midline of the forearm and a flattening over the interosseous membrane area will assist in preventing the base from shifting excessively during normal forearm motion.

An outrigger made of heavy wire (6" brass weld- ing rod is recommended) is formed to fit the descend- ing configuration of the proximal phalangeal area and is curved to allow space for the metacarpals to move dorsally during finger motion. The outrigger must be at- tached securely to the distal aspect of the forearm base, since the weight of the hand generates signifi- cant force here. Once applied, the outrigger should be bent up (into extension) at the point where it leaves the splint base. A piece of low temperature thermo- plastic splinting material is draped over the outrigger and trimmed. When cool, holes are punched above each proximal phalanx. Leather finger loops, with a long string (nylon string is recommended) are attached to each side, are fed through the holes and tied to a hook on the dorsum of the splint. When adjusting tension for the loops, it is helpful to hold all the strings, allowing the patient to open and close the hand a number of times to assure the balance is correct before securing them to the hook. The patient should be able to achieve full extension of the fingers when the wrist approaches neutral. The outrigger may be bent up further at the point where it leaves the splint in order to give the best balance of motion.

**Use of Splint Following Tendon Transfer or with Posterior Interosseous Palsy.** The previously de- scribed splint design is also very suitable for use fol- lowing tendon transfers for irreparable radial nerve palsy, allowing early protected motion. Following the removal of the postoperative immobilization at approxi- mately three weeks, the goal is to allow motion of the hand but to prevent overstretching of the trans- fer. The splint allows the patient to gain full finger flexion with wrist extension, but maintains the range of motion within the limited tenodesis pattern, the ultimate goal of the transfer. Overstretching of the transfer is impossible since wrist and finger flexion cannot be achieved simultaneously.

**Posterior interosseous palsy with radial wrist exten- sion present creates a significant splinting chal- lenge, and the inability to extend the fingers makes grasp and release difficult. Even with radial wrist ex- tension present, the splint remains the recommended choice, since it does not preclude use of active wrist extension and does not assist with finger extension when the wrist flexes slightly.

**CONCLUSION.**

Splinting is necessary following the commonly encountered injury to the radial nerve. From the various splint designs reviewed, one is recommended that maintains the normal tenodesis pattern of the hand while awaiting return of function. The splint is also recommended for use following tendon trans- fers for radial palsy or with posterior interosseous palsy.

**REFERENCES.**